

DESCRIPTION OF ADMINISTRATION PROPOSALS ON DEBT MANAGEMENT

Scheduled for a Public Hearing

Before the

COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS

on April 27, 1982

Prepared for the


COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS

By the

Staff of the Joint Committee on Taxation

April 26, 1982

JCX-9-82



CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction-----	1
I. Summary-----	2
II. Description of Administration Debt Management Proposals-----	2
A. Rate of interest payable on U.S. savings bonds-----	2
B. 4-1/4 percent limit on interest rate on bonds-----	6



## INTRODUCTION

The Committee on Ways and Means has scheduled a public hearing on April 27, 1982, on the Administration's debt management proposals. The proposals relate to (1) the interest rate on U.S. savings bonds and (2) the interest rate ceiling on long-term bonds (obligations with maturity of more than 10 years).

The first part of this document is a summary of the Administration's proposals. The second part is a more detailed description of the proposals, including present law, issues, explanation of the proposals, and analysis of the proposals.



## I. SUMMARY

### Savings bond interest rates

The Second Liberty Bond Act provides that the Secretary of the Treasury, with the consent of the President, may increase the maximum interest rate on U.S. savings bonds, Series EE and HH, by not more than 1 percentage point in any six-month period. The Administration last exercised this authority on May 1, 1981, when the yield on Series EE bonds was increased to 9 percent and the yield on Series HH to 8-1/2 percent.

The Administration has proposed that this limitation be repealed and replaced by a provision that would authorize the Secretary of the Treasury to establish interest rates related to current market rates of interest. Treasury intends to use this authority to issue a market rate savings bond whose holders would be guaranteed minimum interest rates that would rise gradually through the first five years after purchase. Bonds held longer than 5 years would earn interest at a rate equal to 85 percent of the average market yield on 5-year Treasury securities, or the guaranteed minimum rate, whichever is higher.

### Interest rate on bonds

The Secretary of the Treasury may issue to the public up to \$70 billion in bonds, i.e., obligations that mature more than 10 years after the date of issue, that bear an interest rate in excess of 4-1/4 percent. Treasury has exhausted the \$70 billion authority for the exception. No interest rate limitation has been placed on obligations with shorter maturities, e.g., notes, certificates and bills.

The Administration has proposed repeal of the 4-1/4 percent limitation on the rate of interest that may be paid on bonds.

## II. DESCRIPTION OF ADMINISTRATION DEBT MANAGEMENT PROPOSALS

### A. Rate of Interest Payable on U.S. Savings Bonds

#### Present law

The Secretary of the Treasury has discretionary authority to set the rate of interest on savings bonds and savings certificates within certain statutory limits. The minimum investment yield on Series EE savings bonds may not be less than 4 percent (annual rate, compounded semiannually from the date of issuance). There also is a statutory maximum interest rate of 5-1/2 percent, subject to an exception. No person may purchase more than \$15,000 in Series EE bonds, at issue price, in any one year. The limit on purchases of Series HH bonds is \$20,000.



The Secretary, with the approval of the President, may increase the investment yield on any U.S. savings bond above the 5-1/2 percent exception in any six-month period by no more than 1 percentage point (annual rate compounded semiannually). The authority to make such increases enables the Secretary to increase the rate of interest above the statutory limit.

Series EE savings bonds now yield 9 percent, compounded semiannually, when the bonds are held to maturity, which is 8 years. The yield on Series HH bonds is 8-1/2 percent. These bonds have a maturity of 10 years, and the interest is paid semiannually by check. The Secretary used his discretionary authority to put these rates into effect on May 1, 1981. He has not exercised this authority since then. Series EE and HH bonds are not marketable securities.

Section 454 of the Internal Revenue Code, relating to obligations issued at discount, provides the authority for deferral of income tax on the appreciation accrued annually on a Series EE bond. Section 454(a) provides that for a taxpayer who holds non-interest bearing obligations issued at discount and redeemable for fixed amounts at stated intervals, the increase in value in income does not constitute income to him in a taxable year until the bond is redeemed. The same privilege is available, in section 454(c), to a Series EE savings bond that has matured; the increase in redemption value is not includible in gross income until the taxable year in which the bond is redeemed. The taxpayer may elect to include the increased value in gross income each year and, once having made such an election, is bound by the election for all subsequent taxable years until the bond is redeemed.

#### Reasons for the proposal

The general increase in the structure of interest rates in recent years has resulted in a net increase of redemptions over sales of U.S. savings bonds. In the past 3 years, redemptions have exceeded sales by more than \$25 billion. The Secretary's discretionary authority to raise the interest rate on savings bonds was used last on May 1, 1981, to raise the rates on Series EE bonds to 9 percent and to 8-1/2 percent on Series HH bonds.

Treasury did not use its authority to increase the rate on Series EE bonds to 10 percent on November 1, 1981, and it announced then that it would seek legislation to permit varying the savings bond rate with current market rates. The Secretary has stated that increasing the savings bond rate might reduce the net redemptions, but it is an expensive alternative in the long run, if market interest rates declined. Therefore, the Administration has decided to seek discretionary authority to increase or decrease savings bonds as comparable market rates change.



## Explanation of Administration's proposed legislation

Draft legislation that was prepared by the Administration (and forwarded to the Speaker of the House of Representatives on January 25, 1982) would authorize the Secretary, with the approval of the President, to issue U.S. savings bonds in such forms and in amounts that would bear interest at such rates and terms and conditions prescribed by the Secretary. The amount of savings bonds outstanding would continue to be included within the limit established by the Congress for the public debt. Proceeds from the issue of savings bonds would continue to be available--just as the proceeds from other obligations--to meet public expenditures and to retire outstanding obligations of the United States.

The Secretary would be authorized to promulgate regulations that would allow savings bonds owners to retain bonds for any period beyond original maturity and to continue to earn interest during the period the bond is held. In addition, the yield on savings bonds could be increased for the period remaining to maturity or extended maturity, or the yield could be changed for any new extension period.

### Staff analysis

Treasury's proposed legislation simply provides broad authority for the Secretary to establish a market-related interest rate for savings bonds. The proposal does not describe the procedure presently planned for use in determining the savings bond rate, but the Secretary described the planned procedure in accompanying material.

The new savings bonds would continue to have a guaranteed minimum interest rate which would rise through the first 5 years after purchase. The maximum rate of interest would be paid on savings bonds held for 5 years or longer, and the maximum rate would be no less than 85 percent of the average return on 5-year Treasury marketable securities during the holding period. People who would hold either new or old bonds for at least 5 years from the beginning of the program would be assured of receiving 85 percent of this average rate of interest. Bonds held beyond the stated maturity period that otherwise meet requirements would continue to be eligible for the greater of 85 percent of the market rate or a guaranteed minimum rate of interest. New issues of Series EE bonds would receive yields related to current market rates of interest.

Series EE savings bonds issued with a 15-percent discount from the current market rate on Treasury notes with 5 years remaining to maturity could be attractive to an investor with a high enough marginal tax rate because of the deferral of taxation on the annual



yield until the bonds are redeemed. For example, the current average market yield on 5-year notes is 13.5 percent and 85 percent of that rate is 11.475 percent. An investor in the 50-percent tax bracket with \$10,000 could buy a 5-year note at the market rate, and the after-tax yield would be 6.75 percent. If the after-tax yield were reinvested at the same interest rate, the investment would grow to \$19,423 at the end of 10 years. If the same investor purchased a Series EE bond under the proposed procedure, the yield on redemption after 10 years would be \$30,520 and \$20,260 after payment of taxes, for a net yield greater than possible from investing in a bond without deferral. The advantage to the Series EE bond holder is the benefit of compounding the yield, and the deferral of income tax on the interest income until the bond is redeemed. The table below illustrates the relative advantages of savings bonds with tax deferral and Treasury notes without deferral.

After-tax Proceeds from Holding Treasury Notes and Savings Bonds

Assume 12-percent rate of return before taxes on Treasury notes; invest \$10,000

<u>Tax rate</u>	<u>Security</u>	<u>After-tax yield after</u>		
		<u>5 yrs</u>	<u>10 yrs</u>	<u>20 yrs</u>
.20	SB	\$15,156	\$23,634	\$60,506
	TN	15,981	25,540	65,231
.35	SB	14,189	21,078	51,036
	TN	14,661	21,494	46,198
.50	SB	13,222	18,521	41,566
	TN	13,439	18,061	32,620

SB - savings bonds  
 TN - Treasury note

A small investor, i.e., one who could not invest \$1,000 at once, could find the Series EE bond attractive. This investor has an alternative in a passbook account with a saving and loan presently yielding 5.5 percent (5.25 percent at a commercial bank). Income tax would be payable on the interest earnings, but the after-tax yield could remain in the account and accrue the benefits of compounding. Commercial banks and savings and loan associations now offer a considerable variety of savings instruments with different yields, maturities and minimum investment requirements. The deregulation of banks, as presently scheduled during the next four years, should improve their ability to compete for and hold deposits. Savers in the future will have more savings instruments to select from to satisfy their own requirements.



B. 4-1/4 Percent Limit on Interest Rate on Bonds

Present law

Bonds are U.S. obligations that have a maturity when issued that is longer than 10 years. The rate of interest that may be paid on a bond may not exceed 4-1/4 percent, except that \$70 billion in outstanding bonds with rates of interest above 4-1/4 percent may be held by the public. The exception for a specified amount of bonds--initially \$10 billion--was enacted in 1971, and it applied to all bonds with rates above the ceiling. An amendment in 1973 applied the \$10 billion limitation only to bonds held by the public. The last increase in the limit was enacted in October 1980, and it raised the limit to \$70 billion.

Reasons for the proposed legislation

The 4-1/4 percent interest rate ceiling has been substantially below current market rates since the mid-1960's. The most recent bond auction, in February 1982, required an interest coupon of 14 percent.

Treasury believes that there is no prospect in the foreseeable future that bond market rates will fall to 4-1/4 percent.

Treasury has exhausted its \$70 billion authority to issue long-term bonds, and the Treasury was forced to cancel its regular quarterly issues of 20-year bonds in April and 30-year bonds in May. Treasury believes it must continue to issue bonds to maintain a presence in all maturity sectors of the bond market and to avoid shortening the maturity of the public debt. About half of the privately held marketable debt matures in one year and two-thirds within 2 years. The average maturity was 4 years at the end of January 1982.

Interruption of Treasury's quarterly bond cycle may also disrupt the bond market. Disruption would occur because of market uncertainty about Treasury plans and how to allocate investable funds among private and public issues and among different maturities. In addition, Treasury believes that maintaining a stable bond market reduces borrowing costs in the long run, even though the interest rate when a bond is issued may be high in terms of historical patterns. Bonds issued at high interest rates lock-in the Treasury to pay those rates until the bonds are redeemed, more than 10 years after the issue date.

Explanation of the Administration proposal

The proposal would repeal the provision in the Second Liberty Bond Act (31 U.S.C. 752) that limits the rate of interest on United States Bonds to 4-1/4 percent. A bond is defined as an obligation with a maturity longer than 10 years at the time of issue.



In addition, as a conforming amendment, the proposal would repeal the exception to the 4-1/4 percent ceiling that now permits \$70 billion to be issued at rates above 4-1/4 percent and held by the public.

As a result, the Treasury would be able to issue bonds at the prevailing market rate, in amounts the market could absorb, and as frequently as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

### Staff analysis

Between World War II and the mid-1970's, there was a steady shortening of the maturity structure of the Federal debt. In 1946, the average maturity of the debt was 9 years, and by 1976 it had shrunk to 2-1/2 years. This trend was partly a result of statutory limitations on the issuance of long-term debt by the Treasury and partly a result of the lack of increase in the debt outstanding in the market during most of this period. Since the mid-1970's, the Treasury has succeeded in increasing the average maturity to 4 years. The Treasury proposal to remove the statutory cap on long-term bond issues is needed to enable Treasury to continue with the policy.

### Arguments for lengthening the maturity of the Federal debt

Proponents of legislation to permit further lengthening of the maturity of the Federal debt argue that securities with longer maturities are cheaper for the government in the sense that they involve lower administrative costs. Because the government must come to the market less frequently when it issues longer-term debt, there is less risk of disrupting private securities markets. They also argue that, in view of the large federal deficits which are forecast, Treasury must offer as wide a range of maturities as possible in order to market successfully its huge volume of debt.

It is also contended that Treasury's continuing to issue long-term bonds also helps other sectors of the money market. The prices on actively traded Treasury issues are used as benchmarks in pricing private bond issues, thereby reducing risks to underwriters of those issues. Treasury bond issues also support options and futures markets which are used by portfolio managers to hedge risks.

### Arguments against lengthening the maturity on the Federal debt

Interest rates typically are higher on long-term bonds than on short-term securities, in which case long-term bonds will involve higher outlays for interest. The risk of locking Treasury into a costly interest burden is considered by some analysts to be especially great today, when interest rates embody a sizable inflation premium and when the government itself is predicting substantial declines in interest rates.



Furthermore, there is currently a shortage of lenders willing to commit funds at fixed interest rates for long periods of time. When the Federal Government issues long-term bonds, it tends to crowd other borrowers out of the long-term market. This could have the effect of reducing mortgage lending and of forcing corporations to borrow short-term, which increases the risk that they will run into financial difficulties. Some also argue that it is inconsistent for the government to enact credit or subsidy programs to encourage lenders to commit funds to the mortgage market and, at the same time, to crowd those same borrowers out of the long-term market with Treasury bond issues.

#### Possible alternatives to long-term bond issues

Several alternatives have been suggested to Treasury issuance of more long-term bonds. These might give Treasury some of the advantages of long-term financing without the ill-effects of fixed-rate long-term bonds. One possibility would be for Treasury to issue a long-term bond with a floating interest rate. Another would be for Treasury to issue a bond whose interest and principal payments were indexed to inflation.

The issuing of these kinds of obligations also would require repeal of the present 4-1/4 percent interest rate ceiling.

The average maturity of the debt could be increased by issuing notes with maturities of 5 to 10 years. There is no interest rate limitation on obligations which are scheduled to mature within 10 years of the issue date.

